Multi-factor strong authentication methods: Which choice for your enterprise workstations?
Introduction

This white paper details the strong authentication methods that are most commonly used in organizations and their specific features.

It also details the problems that are most frequently encountered during deployment and day-to-day administration. It provides, and offers practical and the most commonly implemented solutions.

Strong authentication techniques in the enterprise are often required by regulations. Organizations are using them more and more often to protect internal and external access to their systems.

In practice however, deploying strong authentication is often more time-consuming and more expensive than anticipated. The problem is rarely due to the technology itself, but rather due to how it is implemented:

• Unforeseen use, such as a self-service kiosk, cluster, delegated accounts, mobility, conversational terminals, etc.
• Resolving lost card and supply issues
• Users (often influential) with very specific needs
• Managing equipment from many different suppliers

As a result, it is important to anticipate the hidden costs of deploying strong authentication, very early in the process. Otherwise, there might not be enough funds or available manpower after a few weeks. That said, making the right choices to begin with can greatly avoid disappointment at later stages.

This document is based on more than 20 years of experience in deploying authentication in organizations (500 to 200,000 users). It describes the authentication techniques and their limitations and offers practical advice for deploying and managing strong authentication.

Strong authentication: the basics

When used correctly, strong authentication helps to strengthen access control in the computer system. A user states who he is (identification) and then proves his identity (authentication).

By reinforcing these two steps, your activity becomes more secure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integrity</th>
<th>Prevent important information from being modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confidentiality</td>
<td>Only authorized individuals can access information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>The smooth running of the business is not affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>Avoid letting people deny actions they have carried out. Investigate incidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>Increase the feeling of security among your customers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accounting data, financial data, analysis results, etc.
Medical record, credit card numbers, etc.
Administrator accounts, production management, etc.
Workstations used by traders in a bank, retail stores, etc.

Not all roles in a company are handled the same way. You may want to provide smart cards only to store vendors or to a hospital’s clinicians, while the rest of the organization uses passwords. Another example is a pharmaceutical company that might use biometrics only for test-related activities.

It is therefore important to define the objectives clearly at the start of a project. These objectives should be expressed from a functional (or “business”) standpoint, and not in technical terms.

Once approved by the stakeholders, this “charter” will serve as a guideline over the course of the project:

• Scope: users, organizations, resources, etc.
• Main objectives: integrity, confidentiality, availability, audit, etc.
• Constraints: specific needs, critical profiles, deadlines, etc.
A user typically provides two pieces of information in order to access a protected resource:

1. One element (name, directory number, etc.) used for identification
2. One or more elements allowing to secure the authentication.

Users can prove their identity by what they know (login/password), what they own (smart card, RFID badge), or who they are (biometrics). The following is a list of the authentication methods most commonly found by Evidian in organizations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authentication Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Password</td>
<td>Simple, even rustic, its biggest flaw is that the security level depends directly on the complexity of the password. The result is that too many complex passwords make users take various measures to remember passwords, such as writing them down on Post-It™ notes or entering them in an Excel file or a smartphone. A login and password combination is the most commonly used method of authentication. Single Sign-On (SSO) solutions can reduce the increased number of passwords. An OTP can prevent a password from being stolen and reused. An OTP system provides a password upon request. This password is valid for a limited period of time and can only be used once. OTP is generally used for initial authentication for external access via VPN. It does not require any configuration of the workstation or smartphone concerned. It is also used as an additional means for password authentication to provide a multi-factor authentication. Certificates are often used to encrypt or sign messages without having to share a secret. The login is a public certificate that is signed and therefore guaranteed by a recognized certification authority. The user must provide a secret piece of information - the smart card PIN - in order to use the cryptographic elements. This solution is frequently used for initial authentication or for access to email or web applications. It requires a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI). Smartphones can serve us as means of authentication. The main methods used are: • During authentication, a one-time password is sent by SMS or email. • During authentication, a Push notification is displayed to the user on his smartphone. • A smartphone application calculates a one-time password itself. • An application scans a QR code that contains an encrypted OTP (eg Evidian QREntry). The smartphone is often used if the user forgets his password or smart card, particularly for internet access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. One-Time Password (OTP)</td>
<td>An OTP can prevent a password from being stolen and reused. An OTP system provides a password upon request. This password is valid for a limited period of time and can only be used once. OTP is generally used for initial authentication for external access via VPN. It does not require any configuration of the workstation or smartphone concerned. It is also used as an additional means for password authentication to provide a multi-factor authentication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. PKI certificates on a smart card</td>
<td>Certificates are often used to encrypt or sign messages without having to share a secret. The login is a public certificate that is signed and therefore guaranteed by a recognized certification authority. The user must provide a secret piece of information - the smart card PIN - in order to use the cryptographic elements. This solution is frequently used for initial authentication or for access to email or web applications. It requires a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Smartphone - OTP - Push notification</td>
<td>Smartphones can serve as means of authentication. The main methods used are: • During authentication, a one-time password is sent by SMS or email. • During authentication, a Push notification is displayed to the user on his smartphone. • A smartphone application calculates a one-time password itself. • An application scans a QR code that contains an encrypted OTP (eg Evidian QREntry). The smartphone is often used if the user forgets his password or smart card, particularly for internet access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Biometrics</td>
<td>Authentication using biometrics is usually performed by checking the user’s fingerprint/wrist. The user’s biometric data is stored on a central server (with major legal constraints), on the workstation, or on a smart card. Biometrics is typically used for initial authentication or to protect access to highly sensitive applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Contactless card</td>
<td>A chip that is embedded into a contactless card contains a code that identifies a user. Therefore this is an identification method that, paired with a password or a PIN, can be used in authentication procedures. The most common technology used is the passive RFID (HID, MIFARE, etc.) the card does not have its own power unit. When it is read, it is powered by an electromagnetic field generated by the reader. The badge must be presented quickly to the device to open the Windows session. The latter locks itself when the badge is represented and retrieved. Passive RFID is often used to control physical access using a pass or for payment in a company cafeteria. This type of card can be detected from a few centimeters away. This technology is often combined with smart cards to ensure that the user retrieves his card from the reader as he will need the contactless part to access the building or get a coffee. You can also use the active RFID technology, however the badge must be powered with a battery which forces to manage a battery supply and anticipate their end of life. The badge must be placed inside to the reader to open the Windows session. The latter is locked when the badge is retrieved. A Bluetooth device, usually a bracelet, provides wireless means of authentication tested in company innovation centers. Depending on the device security level, the bracelet can act as identifier or authenticator if it requires an authentication to be activated. This technology is useful to perform continuous authentication. Bluetooth technology guarantees the presence of the user next to the workstation. When the signal is too low, the workstation locks itself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Wearable device</td>
<td>A Bluetooth device, usually a bracelet, provides wireless means of authentication tested in company innovation centers. Depending on the device security level, the bracelet can act as identifier or authenticator if it requires an authentication to be activated. This technology is useful to perform continuous authentication. Bluetooth technology guarantees the presence of the user next to the workstation. When the signal is too low, the workstation locks itself.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strong authentication: where are the hidden costs?

Cost and usability of authentication methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authentication Type</th>
<th>PC + hardware</th>
<th>PC only</th>
<th>Smartphone</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Usability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Password</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. One-Time Password</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plain Password</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. PKI certificate on a card</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Smartphone</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Biometrics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Contactless card</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Wearable device</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variations in use

Other technologies exist, therefore it is possible to require authentication on only a limited number of machines. A workplace will then be identified by its network address or its unique hardware features.

In practice, there are many variations in use, as shown below:

- Some resources require multiple means of authentication, such as a biometric and a smart card. This is called multi-factor authentication.
- On the contrary, an organization may feel that one simple identification is enough, such as presenting a contactless card to access a site.

Of course, security needs must be balanced with ease of use. A highly secure solution might be rejected by operations staff if it imposes too many constraints on them. It can be said that, “Too much security kills security.”

In general, strong authentication solutions are not usually used for controlling access to specific applications and even less for resources within these applications. Indeed, a software company cannot physically account for every possible method of authentication.

However, it is crucial in some situations to protect individual applications. For example, if a doctor leaves his desk with his session still open, no one should be able to use the computer to write a prescription. For this reason, it is essential to be re-authenticated when returning.

One solution involves software tokens, such as SAMI or Kitemark. However, this requires applications to be designed for it to be used. This is rarely the case, and usually you cannot modify a company’s ‘history’ applications and software.

Another solution is a Single Sign-On (SSO) tool that prompts for re-authentication each time an application is accessed. SSO usually does not require your applications to be modified, making it a more practical solution.

What do you want to protect?

This question is not as simple as it seems. Controlling access is only one way of protecting a company’s true value, which may include its information, reputation, procedures, availability, and more. Therefore, if we can restrict the use of sensitive applications to certain PCs that are protected by smart card/contactless cards, it would be unnecessary to equip all of the company’s PCs with card/badge readers.

Knowing your objectives clarifies the goals of a project, which can then typically be broken down according to the technical targets:

1. Physical access to sites, buildings, or rooms
2. Internet access: logging onto the company portal in particular
3. Access to workstations, such as for authentication on a PC, kiosk, etc
4. Access to critical applications, including accounting, trading, production, etc
5. Access to functions within an application, such as a signature page

Strong authentication methods often differ according to the target. A smartphone will not usually allow you to insert a smart card. Employees are therefore equipped with multiple authentication devices or multifunctional devices.

Beware of complexity

The costs of deploying and using strong authentication are usually much higher than the purchase cost of the equipment itself.

“I mechanism, 1 user, 1 PC, 1 application.”

If your project involves equipping a few dozen employees with personal smart cards, each one being used to access a main application on one PC, are you sure there won’t be any problem?

In reality, it is rare for deployments to obey this “4x1” rule. Also, a poorly prepared deployment can lead to unforeseen situations that will disrupt the installation and increase administration costs.

How can we ensure smooth and continued use?

Those who design critical systems know that failures are inevitable. You must anticipate them so that they cause as few problems as possible. After all, your computer system is one of the most critical areas of your organization!

By adding new elements, strong authentication deployment increases the potential for blocking some crucial activity and likewise increase the number of calls to the help desk. Therefore, you need to anticipate the most common or harmful problems for the organization upfront and plan quick, automated and inexpensive responses.

In the beginning: use of tools

No matter how simple authentication tools may appear, you will find that many users will experience problems with them. To plan for this, have some non-technical users test the solution very early in the process, perhaps using a pilot site. Do not stick to seasoned IT specialists or operators; staff who are highly competent computer users. This will allow you to provide training sessions during deployment, if necessary.

- Are there devices that rookie employees can use?
- Has the supplier provided any training materials?

In the beginning: security procedures

Deploying strong authentication can lead to security vulnerabilities. Since you are going to assign authentication devices to users, you have to be certain that their identity is correct. The best option is to use a procedure that involves a physical handover delivery so that you can properly identify users.

- How can you be sure of a user’s identity when issuing a device?
- Are access rights verified once the device has been issued?

Over Time: Losses and Failures

The devices you will deploy have a known Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF), which manufacturers can provide with you. However, you must also take human factors into consideration, such as lost or stolen devices, forgotten PINs, automatic lockouts or evenolie leave. “Influential users,” which we mentioned earlier, are highly concerned by these issues. They want to be able to continue working, even in the event of a failure or forgotten information. It is therefore important to provide them with a clear and satisfactory answer.

- Can an employee who has forgotten his card be given a temporary password, even if the help desk is not available?
- According to the security policy, is an employee who is off sick able to delegate access to this workstation to another employee?
- Can a card blacklist be managed easily?
- Can a blacklisted card be reused?

One common method is to list the problems that are likely to occur most frequently, such as a lost smart card or a failure involving the biometric device, and also acknowledge that these problems might occur when help the desk is not available.

Case Study

A large bank has decided to deploy a digital fingerprint biometric authentication system for its staff. The initial procedure requires the user to register three fingerprints, to anticipate times when one of the fingers cannot be used, such as when it is covered by a bandage.

In an office, three users tended to share their PCs. In order to continue working insecurely like this, they simply registered one finger from each person on each of the PCs. Once their trick was found out, the procedure was changed. Now, the fingerprint registration process requires a member of the deployment team to be present.
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The law of large numbers

A successful pilot site is never a flawless guarantee. What works well with a few workstations and employees may prove to be completely impractical for thousands of users spread across dozens of sites.

This is explained by two additional management costs resulting from (1) the large number of elements to be taken into account, and (2) the existence of several suppliers with their specific features and administrative tools that are often incompatible with one another.

Managing complexity

Introducing a large quantity of authentication logistic elements into the company can considerably increase management workload. Elements such as assignment channels, photographs, loss management, temporary passwords when a password is forgotten, card stock levels, and blacklists, for instance, equipment supply chains are duplicated, along with interfaces for day-to-day administration. Finally, changing suppliers becomes extremely expensive, which is detrimental to negotiations.

Managing quantity

If existing hardware is already used in some cases which require hardware (biometrics used internally and a one-time password used externally) different from the hardware that a single supplier may have in its catalog. Also, if existing hardware is already used in some sites, it may be very expensive to replace.

Heterogeneity costs are often high. For instance, equipment supply chains are duplicated, along with interfaces for day-to-day administration. Finally, changing suppliers becomes extremely expensive, which is detrimental to negotiations.

The objective is to find solutions that make the system as open as possible regarding the technologies used. Some pieces of authentication management software on PCs is compatible with equipment from several suppliers and can provide additional features such as obtaining temporary passwords using questions and answers, eliminating the need to call the help desk. Similarly, third-party card management tools can be used with cards from different suppliers.

Case Study

An automotive supplier wanted to allow more than 20,000 employees to use a single personal card to enter its sites, pay at the cafeteria, and access their PCs. The idea is clear: if employees always needed their card with them, they wouldn’t be able to keep them inserted in their PC.

During the preparation stage, the company realized that most of its sites were already equipped with physical access control systems. The company quickly realized that there was no uniformity in this equipment. How in this case could they possibly deploy an international solution?

The solution was to use a specialized integrator that adjusted the employee badges one by one so that their contactless component could operate with a standard authentication chip. The project also included a badge management component.

Special use cases

In strong authentication deployments, discovering a special use case that is not covered by the solution can cause users to reject the solution. This risk is even greater because users with special constraints are often highly influential users. Examples of these situations are described below.
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• After authentication, does the employee return to his or her working environment with a few seconds, without having to wait to restart the session?

• Can we prohibit some categories of employees from using kiosk PCs in certain areas of the site?

• Does the solution work with contactless cards or with professional or governmental smart cards?

One user – using multiple PCs at once

Some of your employees need multiple PCs and monitors in order to work. These may include software engineers, trading room workers and manufacturing operation controllers. It is unrealistic to ask these employees to log in to (and log out from) each point of access.

• Can a single authentication unlock (and then lock) all of the user’s workstations?

• How can the user delegate access to this ‘cluster’ of workstations to a co-worker or assistant?

• Can we keep some monitors in “surveillance” mode (active display without a keyboard or mouse) when the user is away?

As we have seen, it is important for the most critical cases to be covered. A frequently used solution is to describe use scenarios during the initial stages of the project. Gather the opinions of the stakeholders and have them approve these scenarios. You will then have buy-in from the users and test records for the pilots and the supplier selection stage.

One user – accessing from multiple geographical areas

A user may want to access his resources when traveling between the company’s various sites. Also, it is becoming increasingly more common for employees to access their professional applications with their smartphone or personal PC. These means of access should be controlled without preventing these users from working.

• Can we assign permissions to a user for a company’s multiple geographical sites?

• Are remote PCs configured to support the authentication solution?

• Can the user be authenticated on an offline laptop?

• How is a user authenticated if he wants to use a smartphone to access resources?
An access control deployment will be successful and less expensive over time, only if it is carefully planned around all of your major use cases, whether they are regular or occasional. This makes it possible to avoid technical, organizational, and human obstacles during deployment.

By considering day-to-day management right from the start of the project, you will ensure that the strong authentication solution will be accepted by users and that there will be no unforeseen operational costs.

For further information, please go to www.evidian.com

Discover our solution Authentication Manager: Strong authentication and MFA for PCs and Servers: https://www.evidian.com/products/authentication-manager/

Case Study
An international bank wanted to confirm the identity of the traders responsible for each and every transaction performed in the trading room. The goal was to prevent the person responsible for a transaction from being able to deny performing it.

The problem here is that, in a trading room, workstation accessibility is extremely important. There is no way of missing an opportunity just because it took a few extra seconds to enter a password. In this situation, how could they get the traders to accept an access control system?

The bank worked with Evidian to review all of the trading room’s procedures in order to devise an authentication solution that would not disrupt operations for any of them. This made it possible to deploy an access control solution in all countries.

Technical Restrictions
Some authentication solutions have limitations in terms of use. A technical limitation may be inherent. Some solutions for virtual workstations do not natively support smart cards or biometrics. There must therefore be a separate solution in place for authentication management.

Limitations are not always so obvious. For example, a large oil company needed smart cards that were resistant to high temperatures for its employees working in Gulf states. Another example involves legal constraints that prevent the use of certain solutions, such as regulations on the export of encryption algorithms.

As you can see, some technical limitations might not be discovered by a pilot site. If they are discovered during deployment, alternative solutions may need to be prepared for some countries, departments, or user categories. It is therefore particularly useful to detail use cases before deciding on solutions.

If the architecture of the overall solution does not depend on the strong authentication supplier, this is also an asset. It will then be easier to find workaround solutions with another supplier in the event of a problem.

Case Study
A major financial institution wanted to use digital fingerprint verification to protect all its customer managers’ PCs. However, this solution is full of legal limitations. In France, it is illegal to store most biometric signatures centrally, i.e. information that is compared with the user’s fingerprint during authentication.

The solution was to provide smart cards to the employees, containing their biometric signatures. A simple procedure allows employees to record their fingerprints in order to link them to their Windows account. Once it is set up, the card and the employee’s fingerprint are needed in order to log in.
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